

Appendix A

Comparison: Hand-Counting vs. Machine Tabulation

| Factor | Hand-Counting Ballots | Machine Tabulation |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Accuracy | Prone to human error | High accuracy with audits |
| Speed | Very slow | Rapid, scalable |
| Transparency | Depends on process | Standardized, auditable |
| Cost | High labor costs | Lower long-term costs |
| Best Use Case | Audits, Recounts | Large-scale elections |

APPENDIX B

FLOW-CHART STYLE EXPLANATION

DS200 Closing, Transport, Tabulation, and Reporting Process

Step 1 – Pre-Election Security

→ DS200 tabulators are secured with tamper-evident seals prior to deployment.

Step 2 – Polls Close (7:00 p.m.)

→ Presiding Judge formally closes the Voting Center.

→ Closing procedures are initiated.

Step 3 – Removal of Tabulation Materials

→ Presiding Judge removes:

- Encrypted removable media (jump drive)
- DS200 results tapes
 - Items are placed into a sealed transport bag.

Step 4 – Law-Enforcement Escort

→ Presiding Judge is escorted by the Virgin Islands Police Department to the Elections Office.

→ Chain of custody is maintained throughout transport.

Step 5 – Public Opening of Sealed Materials

→ In the Elections Conference Room, the sealed bag is opened by the Presiding Judge.

→ This occurs in full view of:

- Board of Elections members
- Election staff

- Candidates
- Media
- Members of the public

Step 6 – Transfer for Tabulation

- Presiding Judge hands the encrypted media to the Deputy Supervisor of Elections.
- Media is then passed to the Voting Technician.

Step 7 – Tabulation

- Encrypted media is inserted into the Electionware tabulation system.
- Results are tabulated and reports are generated.

Step 8 – Secure Data Transmission

- Encrypted data is transmitted via secure cloud connection to St. Croix.
- Data is downloaded to a secure computer.

Step 9 – Review and Release

- Results are reviewed by election staff and the Board of Elections.
- Once verified, results are released to:
 - Elections System website
 - Media
 - Public

Outcome:

- Transparent, secure, auditable, and publicly observable tabulation and reporting process.

Appendix C

Scalable vs. Non-Scalable Election Systems

| Factor | Scalable System (Electronic Tabulation + Audits) | Non-Scalable System (Hand Counting) |
|--|---|---|
| Ability to Handle High Turnout | Processes large volumes of ballots efficiently with no loss of accuracy | Time and staffing needs increase dramatically as turnout grows |
| Multiple Contests on One Ballot | Counts all contests simultaneously using uniform standards | Requires separate tallies for each contest, multiplying time and error risk |
| Consistency Across Precincts | Applies the same counting rules statewide | Results depend on individual counters, teams, and local practices |
| Speed of Results | Delivers timely unofficial results on election night | Results are delayed hours or days, especially in close or complex races |
| Staffing Requirements | Stable and predictable staffing levels | Requires large numbers of workers, supervisors, and observers |
| Fatigue and Human Error | Minimizes human involvement in repetitive counting tasks | Highly susceptible to fatigue, miscounts, and arithmetic errors |
| Cost Control | Lower per-ballot cost and efficient use of resources | Costs increase rapidly with overtime, staffing, and security needs |
| Chain of Custody | Ballots are scanned once and secured with limited handling | Ballots are repeatedly handled, increasing risk of loss or dispute |
| Audit Capability | Built-in post-election audits verify accuracy | Full recounts often required to confirm results |
| Legal Defensibility | Auditable, documented, and aligned with best practices | Greater exposure to challenges due to inconsistency and delay |
| Adaptability to Growth | Easily scales for larger elections and future demands | Becomes impractical as elections grow in size or complexity |

